


TO: FEPC members (Cameron, Creel, Haney, Hart, Henry, Kinneavy,  
Ruszkiewicz, Saldivar)

FROM: John Trimble JT

DATE: Tuesday, July 18

Dr. Kinneavy and I wish to call a meeting of the FEPC tomorrow (Wed.)  
at 2:00 in Parlin 8C to discuss the following questions:

1. Should we adopt a workbook for 306 & 307 this fall? (Please try to take a look at both the Corder and Prentice-Hall workbooks before our meeting. Sheila has copies in the Freshman Office.)
2. Are any changes needed in the program devised for next month's New AI and TA Orientation Week? (Copies of the provisional program will be circulated at the meeting.)
3. What should we do with 398T? Should we orient it to the highly practical needs of our AI's (who are concurrently teaching 306/307) and let the TA's straggle, or should we run separate sections for the two groups? Should we have our TA's defer taking 398T until they are AI's? Should we try to make the course meatier--i.e., require more reading and writing?




10/10/1971

Dear Sir, I refer to your letter of the 27th inst. regarding the proposed changes to the terms of the contract for the supply of...


The proposed changes to the terms of the contract for the supply of... are being considered by the relevant departments and it is expected that a decision will be reached by the end of the month.

Yours faithfully,  
[Signature]

Enclosed for you are two copies of the proposed changes to the terms of the contract for the supply of... and a copy of the letter of the 27th inst. regarding the proposed changes to the terms of the contract for the supply of... for your information.



(over)



The remainder of the page is mostly blank, with some faint, illegible markings and a light beige background, suggesting a scan of a document page with significant redaction or fading.