Graduate Students on Freshman English Policy Committee

- 1. Handbook of Operating Procedures does not rule out graduate students on course committees.
- 2. No specific opposition in the English Department to graduate students serving on Freshman English Policy Committee; Governance Committee acknowledged the precedent and no one spoke against it at the only public forum where the question was addressed.
- 3. Service from graduate students for more than a decade has been superior.
 - --Minutes attest to laborious service on evaluation, textbook, evaluation, and other committees.
 - --Oraduate students who serve on the committee gain the practical experience that leads them to jobs: placement record off the committee has been extraordinary. Service on such a committee (common across the country) is important to graduate students in rhet/comp. Our students are expected to be able to run a program when they leave—and they have: Steven Lynn, Susan Jarratt, Davd Jolliffe, Tom Miller, Cynthia Selfe, Paul Ranieri, Roger Cherry, Philip Sipiora.
 - --Committee membership is important in building trust and respect of graduate students: no problems implementing tougher grade inflation program this term because graduate students trust the freshman office.
 - --Students have served with remarkable maturity: proposed a more thorough program for Al supervision in 1984. Turned down by departmental senate.
 - --Graduate students usually more knowledgeable about rhetoric and composition than faculty members on the committee. Represent a new, older group of graduate students more certain of their purposes.
 - --Graduate students provide an independent perspective. Not currently appointed by Chair or Freshman Director.